

Research Article

Activity Representation Using 3D Shape Models

Mohamed F. Abdelkader,¹ Amit K. Roy-Chowdhury,² Rama Chellappa,¹ and Umut Akdemir³

¹ Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering and Center for Automation Research, UMIACS, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

² Department of Electrical Engineering, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA

³ Siemens Corporate Research, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Mohamed F. Abdelkader, mdfarouk@umd.edu

Received 1 February 2007; Revised 9 July 2007; Accepted 25 November 2007

Recommended by Maja Pantic

We present a method for characterizing human activities using 3D deformable shape models. The motion trajectories of points extracted from objects involved in the activity are used to build models for each activity, and these models are used for classification and detection of unusual activities. The deformable models are learnt using the factorization theorem for nonrigid 3D models. We present a theory for characterizing the degree of deformation in the 3D models from a sequence of tracked observations. This degree, termed as deformation index (DI), is used as an input to the 3D model estimation process. We study the special case of ground plane activities in detail because of its importance in video surveillance applications. We present results of our activity modeling approach using videos of both high-resolution single individual activities and ground plane surveillance activities.

Copyright © 2008 Mohamed F. Abdelkader et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. INTRODUCTION

Activity modeling and recognition from video is an important problem, with many applications in video surveillance and monitoring, human-computer interaction, computer graphics, and virtual reality. In many situations, the problem of activity modeling is associated with modeling a representative shape which contains significant information about the underlying activity. This can range from the shape of the silhouette of a person performing an action to the trajectory of the person or a part of his body. However, these shapes are often hard to model because of their deformability and variations under different camera viewing directions.

In all of these situations, shape theory provides powerful methods for representing these shapes [1, 2]. The work in this area is divided between 2D and 3D deformable shape representations. The 2D shape models focus on comparing the similarities between two or more 2D shapes [2–6]. Two-dimensional representations are usually computationally efficient and there exists a rich mathematical theory using which appropriate algorithms could be designed. Three-dimensional models have received much attention in the past few years. In addition to the higher accuracy provided by these methods, they have the advantage that they can

potentially handle variations in camera viewpoint. However, the use of 3D shapes for activity recognition has been much less studied. In many of the 3D approaches, a 2D shape is represented by a finite-dimensional linear combination of 3D basis shapes and a camera projection model relating the 3D and 2D representations [7–10]. This method has been applied primarily to deformable object modeling and tracking. In [11], actions under different variability factors were modeled as a linear combination of spatiotemporal basis actions. The recognition in this case was performed using the angles between the action subspaces without explicitly recovering the 3D shape. However, this approach needs sufficient video sequences of the actions under different viewing directions and other forms of variability to learn the space of each action.

1.1. Major contributions of the paper

In this paper, we propose an approach for activity representation and recognition based on 3D shapes generated by the activity. We use the 3D deformable shape model for characterizing the objects corresponding to each activity. The underlying hypothesis is that an activity can be represented by deformable shape models that capture

the 3D configuration and dynamics of the set of points taking part in the activity. This approach is suitable for representing different activities as shown by experiments in Section 5. This idea has also been used for 2D shape-based representation in [12, 13]. We also propose a method for estimating the amount of deformation of a shape sequence by deriving a “deformability index” (DI). Estimation of the DI is noniterative, does not require selecting an arbitrary threshold, and can be done before estimating the 3D structure, which means that we can use it as an input to the 3D nonrigid model estimation process. We study the special case of ground plane activities in more detail as an important application because of its importance in surveillance scenarios. The 3D shapes in this special scenario are constrained by the ground plane which reduces the problem to a 2D shape representation. Our method in this case has the ability to match the trajectories across different camera viewpoints (which would not be possible using 2D shape modeling methods) and the ability to estimate the number of activities using the DI formulation. Preliminary versions of this work appeared in [14, 15] and a more detailed analysis of the concept of measuring the deformability was presented in [16].

We have tested our approach on different experimental datasets. First we validate our DI estimate using motion capture data as well as videos of different human activities. The results show that the DI is in accordance with our intuitive judgment and corroborates certain hypotheses prevailing in human movement analysis studies. Subsequently, we present the results of applying our algorithm to two different applications: view-invariant human activity recognition using 3D models (high-resolution imaging) and detection of anomalies in ground plane surveillance scenario (low-resolution imaging).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews some of the existing work in event representation and 3D shape theory. Section 3 describes the shape-based activity modeling approach along with the special case of ground plane motion trajectories. Section 4 presents the method for estimating the DI for a shape sequence. Detailed experiments are presented in Section 5, before concluding in Section 6.

2. RELATED WORK

Activity representation and recognition have been an active area of research for decades and it is impossible to do justice to the various approaches within the scope of this paper. We outline some of the broad trends in this area. Most of the early work on activity representation comes from the field of artificial intelligence (AI) [17, 18]. More recent work comes from the fields of image understanding and visual surveillance, employing formalisms like hidden Markov models (HMMs), logic programming, and stochastic grammars [19–29]. A method for visual surveillance using a “forest of sensors” was proposed in [30]. Many uncertainty-reasoning models have been actively pursued in the AI and image understanding literature, including belief networks [31–33], Dempster-Shafer theory [34], dynamic Bayesian networks [35, 36], and Bayesian inference [37]. A specific area of

research within the broad domain of activity recognition is human motion modeling and analysis, which has received keen interest from various disciplines [38–40]. A survey of some of the earlier methods used in vision for tracking human movement can be found in [41], while a more recent survey is in [42].

The use of shape analysis for activity and action recognition has been a recent trend in the literature. Kendall’s statistical shape theory was used to model the interactions of a group of people and objects in [43], as well as the motion of individuals [44]. A method for the representation of human activities based on space curves of joint angles and torso location and attitude was proposed in [45]. In [46], the authors proposed an activity recognition algorithm using dynamic instants and intervals as view-invariant features, and the final matching of trajectories was conducted using a rank constraint on the 2D shapes. In [47], each human action was represented by a set of 3D curves which are quasi-invariant to the viewing direction. In [48, 49], the motion trajectories of an object are described as a sequence of flow vectors, and neural networks are used to learn the distribution of these sequences. In [50], a wavelet transform was used to decompose the raw trajectory into components of different scales, and the different subtrajectories are matched against a data base to recognize the activity.

In the domain of 3D shape representation, the approach of approximating a nonrigid object by a composition of basis shapes has been useful in certain problems related to object modeling [51]. However, there has been little analysis of its usefulness in activity modeling, which is the focus of this paper.

3. SHAPE-BASED ACTIVITY MODELS

3.1. Motivation

We propose a framework for recognizing activities by first extracting the trajectories of the various points taking part in the activity, followed by a nonrigid 3D shape model fitted to the trajectories. It is based on the empirical observation that many activities have an associated structure and a dynamical model. Consider, as an example, the set of images of a walking person in Figure 1(a) (obtained from the USF database for the gait challenge problem [52]). The binary representation clearly shows the change in the shape of the body for one complete walk cycle. The person in this figure is free to move his/her hands and feet any way he/she likes. However, this random movement does not constitute the activity of walking. For humans to perceive and appreciate the walk, the different parts of the body have to move in a certain synchronized manner. In mathematical terms, this is equivalent to modeling the walk by the deformations in the shape of the body of the person. Similar observations can be made for other activities performed by a single human, for example, dancing, jogging, sitting, and so forth.

An analogous example can be provided for an activity involving a group of people. Consider people getting off a plane and walking to the terminal, where there is no jet-bridge to constrain the path of the passengers (see




FIGURE 1: Two examples of activities: (a) the binary silhouette of a walking person and (b) people disembarking from an airplane. It is clear that both of these activities can be represented by deformable shape models using the body contour in (a) and the passenger/vehicle motion paths in (b).

Figure 1(b)). Every person after disembarking is free to move as he/she likes. However, this does not constitute the activity of people getting off a plane and heading to the terminal. The activity here is comprised of people walking along a path that leads to the terminal. Again, we see that the activity can be modeled by the shape of the trajectories taken by the passengers. Using deformable shape models is a higher-level abstraction of the individual trajectories, and it provides a method of analyzing all the points of interest together, thus modeling their interactions in a very elegant way.

Not only is the activity represented by a deformable shape sequence, but also the amount of deformation is different for different activities. For example, it is reasonable to say that the shape of the human body while dancing is usually more deformable than during walking, which is more deformable than when standing still. Since it is possible for the human observer to roughly infer the degree of deformability based on the contents of the video sequence, the information about how deformable a shape is must be contained in the sequence itself. We will use this intuitive notion to quantify the deformability of a shape sequence from a set of tracked points on the object. In our activity representation model, a deformable shape is represented as a linear combination of rigid basis shapes [7]. The deformability index provides a theoretical framework for estimating the required number of basis shapes.

3.2. Estimation of deformable shape models

We hypothesize that each shape sequence can be represented by a linear combination of 3D basis shapes. Mathematically, if we consider the trajectories of P points representing the shape (e.g., landmark points), then the overall configuration of the P points is represented as a linear combination of the basis shapes S_i as

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^K l_i S_i, \quad S, S_i \in \mathcal{R}^{3 \times P}, \quad l \in \mathcal{R}, \quad (1)$$

where l_i represents the weight associated with the basis shape S_i .

The choice of K is determined by quantifying the deformability of the shape sequence, and it will be studied in detail in Section 4. We will assume a weak perspective projection model for the camera.

A number of methods exist in the computer vision literature for estimating the basis shapes. In the factorization paper for structure from motion [53], the authors considered P points tracked across F frames in order to obtain two $F \times P$ matrices, that is, \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} . Each row of \mathbf{U} contains the x -displacements of all the P points for a specific time frame, and each row of \mathbf{V} contains the corresponding y -displacements. It was shown in [53] that for 3D rigid motion and the orthographic camera model, the rank r of the concatenation of the rows of the two matrices $[\mathbf{U}/\mathbf{V}]$ has an upper bound of 3. The rank constraint is derived from the fact that $[\mathbf{U}/\mathbf{V}]$ can be factored into two matrices, $\mathbf{M}_{2F \times r}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{r \times P}$, corresponding to the pose and 3D structure of the scene, respectively. In [7], it was shown that for nonrigid motion, the above method could be extended to obtain a similar rank constraint, but one that is higher than the bound for the rigid case. We will adopt the method suggested in [7] for computing the basis shapes for each activity. We will outline the basic steps of their approach in order to clarify the notation for the remainder of the paper.

Given F frames of a video sequence with P moving points, we first obtain the trajectories of all these points over the entire video sequence. These P points can be represented in a measurement matrix as

$$\mathbf{W}_{2F \times P} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{1,1} & \cdots & u_{1,P} \\ v_{1,1} & \cdots & v_{1,P} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ u_{F,1} & \cdots & u_{F,P} \\ v_{F,1} & \cdots & v_{F,P} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where $u_{f,p}$ represents the x -position of the p th point in the f th frame and $v_{f,p}$ represents the y -position of the same point. Under weak perspective projection, the P points of a configuration in a frame f are projected onto 2D image points $(u_{f,i}, v_{f,i})$ as

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{f,1} & \cdots & u_{f,P} \\ v_{f,1} & \cdots & v_{f,P} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R}_f \left(\sum_{i=1}^K l_{f,i} \mathbf{S}_i \right) + \mathbf{T}_f, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}_f = \begin{bmatrix} r_{f1} & r_{f2} & r_{f3} \\ r_{f4} & r_{f5} & r_{f6} \end{bmatrix} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_f^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{R}_f^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

\mathbf{R}_f represents the first two rows of the full 3D camera rotation matrix and \mathbf{T}_f is the camera translation. The translation component can be eliminated by subtracting out the mean of all the 2D points, as in [53]. We now form the measurement matrix \mathbf{W} , which was represented in (2), with the means of each of the rows subtracted. The weak perspective scaling factor is implicitly coded in the configuration weights $\{l_{f,i}\}$.

Using (2) and (3), it is easy to show that

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} l_{1,1}\mathbf{R}_1 & \cdots & l_{1,K}\mathbf{R}_1 \\ l_{2,1}\mathbf{R}_2 & \cdots & l_{2,K}\mathbf{R}_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ l_{F,1}\mathbf{R}_F & \cdots & l_{F,K}\mathbf{R}_F \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_1 \\ \mathbf{S}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{S}_K \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{Q}_{2F \times 3K} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{3K \times P}, \quad (5)$$

which is of rank $3K$. The matrix \mathbf{Q} contains the pose for each frame of the video sequence and the weights l_1, \dots, l_K . The matrix \mathbf{B} contains the basis shapes corresponding to each of the activities. In [7], it was shown that \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{B} can be obtained by using singular value decomposition (SVD) and retaining the top $3K$ singular values, as $\mathbf{W}_{2F \times P} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}^T$ and $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}^{1/2}$ and $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{D}^{1/2}\mathbf{V}^T$. The solution is unique up to an invertible transformation. Methods have been proposed for obtaining an invertible solution using the physical constraints of the problem. This has been dealt with in detail in previous papers [9, 51]. Although this is important for implementing the method, we will not dwell on it in detail in this paper and will refer the reader to previous work.

3.3. Special case: ground plane activities

A special case of activity modeling that often occurs is the case of ground plane activities, which are often encountered in applications such as visual surveillance. In these applications, the objects are far away from the camera such that each object can be considered as a point moving on a common plane such as the ground plane of the scene under consideration. Because of the importance of such configurations, we study them in more detail and present an approach for using our shape-based activity model to




FIGURE 2: Perspective images of points in a plane [57]. The world coordinate system is moved in order to be aligned with the plane π .

represent these ground plane activities. The 3D shapes in this case are reduced to 2D shapes due to the ground plane constraint. The main reason for using our 3D approach (as opposed to a 2D shape matching one) is the ability to match the trajectories across changes of viewpoint.

Our approach for this situation consists of two steps. The first step recovers the ground plane geometry and uses it to remove the projection effects between the trajectories that correspond to the same activity. The second step uses the deformable shape-based activity modeling technique to learn a nominal trajectory that represents all the ground plane trajectories generated by an activity. Since each activity can be represented by one nominal trajectory, we will not need multiple basis shapes for each activity.

3.3.1. First step: ground plane calibration

Most of the outdoor surveillance systems monitor a ground plane of an area of interest. This area could be the floor of a parking lot, the ground plane of an airport, or any other monitored area. Most of the objects being tracked and monitored are moving on this dominant plane. We use this fact to remove the camera projection effect by recovering the ground plane and projecting all the motion trajectories back onto this ground plane. In other words, we map the motion trajectories measured at the image plane onto the ground plane coordinates to remove these projective effects. Many automatic or semiautomatic methods are available to perform this calibration [54, 55]. As the calibration process needs to be performed only one time because the camera is fixed, we are using the semiautomatic method presented in [56], which is based on using some of the features often seen in man-made environments. We will give a brief summary of this method for completeness.

Consider the case of points lying on a world plane π , as shown in Figure 2. The mapping between points $\mathbf{X}_\pi = (X, Y, 1)^T$ on the world plane π and their image \mathbf{x} is a general planar homography—a plane-to-plane projective transformation—of the form $\mathbf{x} = H\mathbf{X}_\pi$, with H being a 3×3 matrix of rank 3. This projective transformation can be decomposed into a chain of more specialized transformations of the form

$$H = H_S H_A H_P, \quad (6)$$

where H_S , H_A , and H_P represent similarity, affine, and pure projective transformations, respectively. The recovery of the ground plane up to a similarity is performed in two stages.

Stage 1: from projective to affine

This is achieved by determining the pure projective transformation matrix H_P . We note that the inverse of this projective transformation is also a projective transformation \hat{H}_P , which can be written as

$$\hat{H}_P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ l_1 & l_2 & l_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{l}_\infty = (l_1, l_2, l_3)^T$ is the vanishing line of the plane, defined as the line connecting all the vanishing points for lines lying on the plane.

From (7), it is evident that identifying the vanishing line is enough to remove the pure projective part of the projection. In order to identify the vanishing line, two sets of parallel lines should be identified. Parallel lines are easy to find in man-made environments (e.g., parking space markers, curbs, and road lanes).

Stage 2: from affine to metric

The second stage of the rectification is the removal of the affine projection. As in the first stage, the inverse affine transformation matrix \hat{H}_A can be written in the following form:

$$\hat{H}_A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\alpha & 0 \\ \beta & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Also, this matrix has two degrees of freedom represented by α and β . These two parameters have a geometric interpretation as representing the circular points, which are a pair of points at infinity that are invariant to Euclidean transformations. Once these points are identified, metric properties of the plane are available.

Identifying two affine invariant properties on the ground plane can be sufficient to obtain two constraints on the values of α and β . Each of these constraints is in the form of a circle. These properties include a known angle between two lines, equality of two unknown angles, and a known length ratio of two line segments.

3.3.2. Second step: learning trajectories

After recovering the ground plane (i.e., finding the projective \hat{H}_P and affine \hat{H}_A inverse transformations), the motion trajectories of the objects are reprojected to their ground plane coordinates. Having m different trajectories of each activity, the goal is to obtain a nominal trajectory that represents all of these trajectories. We assume that all these trajectories have the same 2D shape up to a similarity transformation (translation, rotation, and scale). This transformation will compensate for the way the activity was performed in the scene. We use the factorization algorithm to obtain the shape of this nominal trajectory from all the motion trajectories.

For a certain activity that we wish to learn, let T_j be the j th ground plane trajectory of this activity. This trajectory was obtained by tracking an object performing the activity in the image plane over n frames and by projecting these points onto the ground plane as

$$T_j = \begin{bmatrix} x_{j1} & \cdots & x_{jn} \\ y_{j1} & \cdots & y_{jn} \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \hat{H}_A \hat{H}_P \begin{bmatrix} u_{j1} & \cdots & u_{jn} \\ v_{j1} & \cdots & v_{jn} \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

where u, v are the 2D image plane coordinates, x, y are the ground plane coordinates, and \hat{H}_P and \hat{H}_A are the pure projective and affine transformations from image to ground planes, respectively.

Assume, except for a noise term η_j , that all the different trajectories correspond to the same 2D nominal trajectory S but have undergone 2D similarity transformations (scale, rotation, and translation). Then

$$T_j = H_{S_j} S + \eta_j = \begin{bmatrix} s_j \cos \theta_j & -s_j \sin \theta_j & t_{xj} \\ s_j \sin \theta_j & s_j \cos \theta_j & t_{yj} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{x}_n \\ \tilde{y}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{y}_n \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \eta_j, \quad (10)$$

where H_{S_j} is the similarity transformation between the j th trajectory and S . This relation can be rewritten in inhomogeneous coordinates as

$$\hat{T}_j = \begin{bmatrix} s_j \cos \theta_j & -s_j \sin \theta_j \\ s_j \sin \theta_j & s_j \cos \theta_j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{x}_n \\ \tilde{y}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{y}_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} t_{xj} \\ t_{yj} \end{bmatrix} + \eta_j = s_j R_j S + \mathbf{t}_j + \eta_j, \quad (11)$$

where s_j , R_j , and \mathbf{t}_j represent the scale, rotation matrix, and translation vector, respectively, between the j th trajectory and the nominal trajectory S .

In order to explore the temporal behavior of the activity trajectories, we divide each trajectory into small segments at different time scales and explore these segments. By applying this time scaling technique, which will be addressed in detail in Section 5, we obtain m different trajectories, each with n points. Given these trajectories, we can construct a measurement matrix of the form

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_1 \\ \hat{T}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{T}_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ y_{11} & \cdots & y_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{m1} & \cdots & x_{mn} \\ y_{m1} & \cdots & y_{mn} \end{bmatrix}_{2m \times n}. \quad (12)$$

As before, we subtract the mean of each row to remove the translation effect. Substituting from (11), the measurement matrix can be written as

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 R_1 \\ s_2 R_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_m R_m \end{bmatrix} S + \begin{bmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \eta_m \end{bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

$$= P_{2m \times 2} S_{2 \times n} + \eta.$$

Thus in the noiseless case, the measurement matrix has a maximum rank of two. The matrix P contains the pose or orientation for each trajectory. The matrix S contains the shape of the nominal trajectory for this activity.

Using the rank theorem for noisy measurements, the measurement matrix can be factorized into two matrices \tilde{P} and \tilde{S} by using SVD and retaining the top two singular values, as shown before:

$$W = UDV^T, \quad (14)$$

and taking $\tilde{P} = U'D'^{1/2}$ and $\tilde{S} = D'^{1/2}V'^T$, where U', D', V' are the truncated versions of U, D, V by retaining only the top two singular values. However, this factorization is not unique, as for any nonsingular 2×2 matrix Q ,

$$W = \tilde{P}\tilde{S} = (\tilde{P}Q)(Q^{-1}\tilde{S}). \quad (15)$$

So we want to remove this ambiguity by finding the matrix Q that would transform \tilde{P} and \tilde{S} into the pose and shape matrices $P = \tilde{P}Q$ and $S = Q^{-1}\tilde{S}$ as in (13). To find Q , we use the metric constraint on the rows of P , as suggested in [53].

By multiplying P by its transpose P^T , we get

$$PP^T = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 R_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_m R_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 R_1 & \cdots & s_m R_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1^2 \mathbf{I}_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & s_m^2 \mathbf{I}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

where \mathbf{I}_2 is a 2×2 identity matrix. This follows from the orthonormality of the rotation matrices R_j . Substituting for $P = \tilde{P}Q$, we get

$$PP^T = \tilde{P}QQ^T\tilde{P}^T = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \mathbf{b}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_m \\ \mathbf{b}_m \end{bmatrix} QQ^T \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1^T & \mathbf{b}_1^T & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_m^T & \mathbf{b}_m^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

where \mathbf{a}_i and \mathbf{b}_i , $i = 1 : m$, are the odd and even rows of \tilde{P} , respectively. From (16) and (17), we obtain the following constraints on the matrix QQ^T , for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_i QQ^T \mathbf{a}_i^T &= \mathbf{b}_i QQ^T \mathbf{b}_i^T = s_i^2, \\ \mathbf{a}_i QQ^T \mathbf{b}_i^T &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Using these $2m$ constraints on the elements of QQ^T , we can find the solution for QQ^T . Then Q can be estimated through SVD, and it is unique up to a 2×2 rotation matrix. This ambiguity comes from the selection of the reference coordinate system and it can be eliminated by selecting the first trajectory as a reference, that is, by selecting $R_1 = I_{2 \times 2}$.

3.3.3. Testing trajectories

In order to test whether an observed trajectory T_x belongs to a certain learnt activity or not, two steps are needed.

- (1) Compute the optimal rotation and scaling matrix $s_x R_x$ in the least square sense such that

$$T_x \simeq s_x R_x S, \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ y_1 & \cdots & y_n \end{bmatrix} \simeq s_x R_x \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{x}_n \\ \tilde{y}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{y}_n \end{bmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

The matrix $s_x R_x$ has only two degrees of freedom, which correspond to the scale s_x and rotation angle θ_x ; we can write the matrix $s_x R_x$ as

$$s_x R_x = \begin{bmatrix} s_x \cos \theta_x & -s_x \sin \theta_x \\ s_x \sin \theta_x & s_x \cos \theta_x \end{bmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

By rearranging (20), we get $2n$ equations in the two unknown elements of $s_x R_x$ in the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \\ y_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 & -\tilde{y}_1 \\ \tilde{y}_1 & \tilde{x}_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{x}_m & -\tilde{y}_m \\ \tilde{y}_m & \tilde{x}_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_x \cos \theta_x \\ s_x \sin \theta_x \end{bmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Again, this set of equations is solved in the least square sense to find the optimal $s_x R_x$ parameters that minimize the mean square error between the tested trajectory and the rotated nominal shape for this activity.

- (2) After the optimal transformation matrix is calculated, the correlation between the trajectory and the transformed nominal shape is calculated and used for making a decision. The Frobenius norm of the error matrix is used as an indication of the level of correlation, which represents the mean square error (MSE) between the two matrices. The error matrix is calculated as the difference between the tested trajectory matrix T_x and the rotated activity shape as follows:

$$\Delta_x = T_x - s_x R_x S. \quad (23)$$

The Frobenius norm of a matrix \mathbf{A} is defined as the square root of the sum of the absolute squares of its elements:

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}^2|}. \quad (24)$$

TABLE 1: Deformability index (DI) for human activities using motion-capture data.

Activity	DI	Activity	DI
(1) Male walk (sequence 1)	5.8	(10) Broom (sequence 2)	8.8
(2) Male walk (sequence 2)	4.7	(11) Jog	5
(3) Fast walk	8	(12) Blind walk	8.8
(4) Walk throwing hands around	6.8	(13) Crawl	8
(5) Walk with drooping head	8.8	(14) Jog while taking U-turn (sequence 1)	4.8
(6) Sit (sequence 1)	8	(15) Jog while taking U-turn (sequence 2)	5
(7) Sit (sequence 2)	8.2	(16) Broom in a circle	9
(8) Sit (sequence 3)	8.2	(17) Female walk	7
(9) Broom (sequence 1)	7.5	(18) Slow dance	8

5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We performed two sets of experiments to show the effectiveness of our approach for characterizing activities. In the first set, we use 3D shape models to model and recognize the activities performed by an individual, for example, walking, running, sitting, crawling, and so forth. We show the effect of using a 3D model in recognizing these activities from different viewing angles. In the second set of experiments, we provide results for the special case of ground plane surveillance trajectories resulting from a motion detection and tracking system [58]. We explore the effectiveness of our formulation in modeling nominal trajectories and detecting anomalies in the scene. In the first experiment, we assume a robust tracking of the feature points across the sequence. This enables us to focus on whether the 3D models can be used to disambiguate between different activities in various poses and the selection of the criterion to make this decision. However, as pointed out in the original factorization paper [53] and in its extensions to deformable shape model in [7], the rank constraint algorithms can estimate the 3D structure even with noisy tracking results.

5.1. Application in human activity recognition

We used our approach to classify the various activities performed by an individual. We used the motion-capture data [59] available from Credo Interactive Inc. and Carnegie Mellon University in the BioVision Hierarchy and Acclaim formats. The combined dataset includes a number of subjects performing various activities like walking, jogging, sitting, crawling, brooming, and so forth. For each activity, we have multiple video sequences consisting of 72 frames each, acquired at different view points.

5.1.1. Computing the DI for different human activities

For the different activities in the database, we used an articulated 3D model for the body that contains 53 tracked feature points. We used the method described in Section 4 on the trajectories of these points to compute the DI for each of these sequences. These values are shown in Table 1 for various activities. Please note that the DI is used to estimate

the number of basis shapes needed for 3D deformable object modeling, not for activity recognition.

From Table 1, a number of interesting observations can be made. For the walk sequences, the DI is between 5 and 6. This matches the hypotheses in papers on gait recognition where it is mentioned that about five exemplars are necessary to represent a full cycle of gait [60]. The number of basis shapes increases for fast walk, as expected from some of the results in [61]. When the stick figure person walks doing some other things (like moving head or hands or a blind person's walk), the number of basis shapes needed to represent it (i.e., the deformability index) increases more than that of normal walk. The result that might seem surprising initially is the high DI for sitting sequences. On closer examination though, it can be seen that the stick figure, while sitting, is making all kinds of random gestures as if talking to someone else, increasing the DI for these sequences. Also, the DI is insensitive to changes in viewpoint (azimuth angle variation only), as can be seen by comparing the jog sequences (14 and 15 with 11) and broom sequences (16 with 9 and 10). This is not surprising since we do not expect the deformation of the human body to change due to rotation about the vertical axis. The DI, thus calculated, is used to estimate the 3D shapes, some of which are shown in Figure 3 and used in activity recognition experiments.

5.1.2. Activity representation using 3D models

Using the video sequences and our knowledge of the DI for each activity, we applied the method outlined in Section 3 to compute the basis shapes and their combination coefficients (see (1)). The orthonormality constraints in [7] are used to get a unique solution for the basis shapes. We found that the first basis shape, S_1 , contained most of the information. The estimated first basis shapes are shown in Figure 3 for six different activities. For this application, considering only the first basis shape was enough to distinguish between the different activities; that is, the recognition results did not improve with adding more basis shapes although the differences between the different models increased. This is a peculiarity of this dataset and will not be true in general. In order to compute the similarity measure, we considered the various joint angles between the different parts of the estimated 3D models. The angles considered are shown in






FIGURE 3: Plots of the first basis shape S_1 for (a)–(c) walk, sit, and broom sequences and for (d)–(f) jog, blind walk, and crawl sequences.



Angles we are using in our correlation criteria (ordered from highest weights to lowest)

1. c → angle between hip-abdomen and vertical axis
2. h → angle between hip-abdomen and chest
3. $(a + b)/2$ → average angle between two legs and abdomen-hip axis
4. $(b - a)/2$ → the angle difference between two upper legs
5. $(i + j)/2$ → average angle between upper legs and lower legs
6. $(d + e)/2$ → average angle between upper arms and abdomen-chest
7. $(f + g)/2$ → average angle between upper arms and lower arms

(a)



(b)

FIGURE 4: (a) The various angles used for computing the similarity of two models are shown in the figure. The text below describes the seven-dimensional vector computed from each model whose correlation determines the similarity scores. (b) The similarity matrix for the various activities, including ones with different viewing directions. The numbers correspond to the numbers in Table 1 for 1–16. 17 and 18 correspond to sitting and walking, where the training and test data are from two different viewing directions.

Figure 4(a). The idea of considering joint angles for activity modeling has been suggested before in [45]. We considered the seven-dimensional vector obtained from the angles as shown in Figure 4(a). The distance between the two angle vectors was used as a measure of similarity. Thus small differences indicate higher similarity.

The similarity matrix is shown in Figure 4(b). The row and column numbers correspond to the numbers in Table 1 for 1–16, while 17 and 18 correspond to sitting and walking, where the training and test data are from two different viewing directions. For the moment, consider the upper 13×13 block of this matrix. We find that the different walk

sequences are close to each other; this is also true for sitting and brooming sequences. The jog sequence, besides being closest to itself, is also close to the walk sequences. Blind walk is close to jogging and walking. The crawl sequence does not match any of the rest and this is clear from row 13 of the matrix. Thus, the results obtained using our method are reasonably close to what we would expect from a human observer, which support the use of this representation in activity recognition.

In order to further show the effectiveness of this approach, we used the obtained similarity matrix to analyze the recognition rates for different clusters of activities. We




FIGURE 5: The recall versus precision rates for the detection of three different clusters of activities. (a) Walking activities (activities 1–5, 11, and 12 in Table 1). (b) Sitting activities (activities 6–9 in Table 1). (c) Brooming activities (activities 9 and 10 in Table 1).

applied different thresholds on the matrix and calculated the recall and precision values for each cluster. The first cluster contains the walking sequences along with jogging and blind walk (activities 1–5, 11, and 12 in Table 1). Figure 5(a) shows the recall versus precision values for this activity cluster; we can see from the figure that we are able to identify 90% of these activities with a precision up to 90%. The second cluster consists of three sitting sequences (activities 6–8 in Table 1), and the third cluster consists of the brooming sequences (activities 9 and 10 in Table 1). For both of these clusters the similarity values were quite separated to the extent that we were able to fully separate the positive and negative examples. This resulted in the recall versus precision curves as shown in Figures 5(b) and 5(c).

5.1.3. View-invariant activity recognition

In this part of the experiment, we consider the situation where we try to recognize activities when the training and

testing video sequences are from different viewpoints. This is the most interesting part of the method as it demonstrates the strength of using 3D models for activity recognition. In our dataset, we had three sequences where the motion is not parallel to the image plane, two for jogging in a circle and one for brooming in a circle. We considered a portion of these sequences where the stick figure is not parallel to the camera. From each such video sequence, we computed the basis shapes. Each basis shape is rotated, based on an estimate of its pose, and transformed to the canonical plane (i.e., parallel to the image plane). The basis shapes before and after rotation are shown in Figure 6. The rotated basis shape is used to compute the similarity of this sequence with others, exactly as described above. Rows 14–18 of the similarity matrix show the recognition performance for this case. The jogging sequences are close to jogging in the canonical plane (column 11), followed by walking along the canonical plane (columns 1–6). For the broom sequence, it is closest to a brooming activity in the canonical plane (columns 9 and 10).




FIGURE 6: (a)-(b) Plot of the basis shapes for jogging and brooming when the viewing direction is different from the canonical one. (c)-(d) Plot of the rotated basis shapes.

The sitting and walking sequences (columns 17 and 18) of the test data are close to the sitting and walking sequences in the training data even though they were captured from different viewing directions.

5.2. Application in characterization of ground plane trajectories

Our second set of experiments was directed towards the special case of ground plane motion trajectories. The proposed algorithm was tested on a set of real trajectories, generated by applying a motion detection and tracking system [58] on the force protection surveillance system (FPSS) dataset provided by US Army Research Laboratory (ARL). These data sequences represent the monitoring of humans and vehicles moving around in a large parking lot. The normal activity in these sequences corresponds to a person moving into the parking lot and approaching his or her car, or stepping out of the car and moving out of the parking lot. We manually picked the trajectories corresponding to normal activities from the tracking results to assure stable tracking results in the training set.

In this experiment, we deal with a single normal activity. However, for more complicated scenes, the algorithm can handle multiple activities by first estimating the number of activities using the DI estimation procedure in Section 4 and then performing the following learning procedure for each activity.

5.2.1. Time scaling

One of the major challenges in comparing activities is to remove the temporal variation in the way the activity is being executed. Several techniques were used to face this challenge as in [62], where the authors used dynamic time warping (DTW) [63] to learn the nature of time warps between different instants of each activity. This technique could have been used in our problem as a preprocessing stage for the trajectories to compensate for these variations before computing the nominal shape of each activity. However, the nature of the ground plane activities in our experiment did not require such sophisticated techniques; so we used a

much simpler approach to be able to compare trajectories of different lengths (different number of samples n) and to explore the temporal effect. We adopt the multiresolution time scaling approach described below.

- (i) Each trajectory is divided into segments of a common length n . We pick $n = 50$ frames in our experiment.
- (ii) A multiscale technique is used for testing different combinations of segments, ranging from the finest scale (the line segments) to the coarsest scale (the whole trajectory). This technique gives the ability to evaluate each section of the trajectory along with the overall trajectory. An example of the different training sequences that can be obtained from a $3n$ trajectory is given in Table 2, where $Downsample_m$ denotes the process of keeping every m th sample and discarding the rest. We provide a representation of the segments in the form of an ordered pair (i, j) , where i represents the scale of the segment and j represents the order of this segment within the scale i .

An important property of this time scaling approach is that it captures the change in motion pattern between segments because of grouping of all possible combinations of adjacent segments. This can be helpful as the abrupt change in human motion pattern, like sudden running, is a change that is worthy of being singled out in surveillance applications.


5.2.2. Ground plane recovery

This is the first step in our method. This calibration process needs to be done once for each camera, and the transformation matrix can then be used for all the subsequent sequences because of the stationary setup. The advantage of this method is that it does not need any ground truth information and can be performed using some features that are common in man-made environments.

As described before, the first stage recovers the affine parameters by identifying the vanishing line of the ground plane. This is done using two parallel lines as shown in Figure 7(a); the parallel lines are picked as the horizontal and vertical borders of a parking spot. Identifying the vanishing line is sufficient to recover the ground plane up to an affine transformation as shown in Figure 7(b).

TABLE 2: The different trajectory sequences generated from a three-segment trajectory.

Scale	Segment representation	Trajectory points		Processing type
		$x_1 \dots x_n$ $y_1 \dots y_n$	$x_{n+1} \dots x_{2n}$ $y_{n+1} \dots y_{2n}$	
(1)	(1,1)	$x_1 : x_n$ $y_1 : y_n$	$x_{n+1} : x_{2n}$ $y_{n+1} : y_{2n}$	No processing No processing
	(1,2)	$x_{n+1} : x_{2n}$ $y_{n+1} : y_{2n}$	$x_{2n+1} : x_{3n}$ $y_{2n+1} : y_{3n}$	No processing No processing
	(1,3)	$x_1 : x_n$ $y_1 : y_n$	$x_{2n+1} : x_{3n}$ $y_{2n+1} : y_{3n}$	No processing No processing
(2)	(2,1)	$x_1 : x_{2n}$ $y_1 : y_{2n}$	$x_{n+1} : x_{3n}$ $y_{n+1} : y_{3n}$	Downsample ₂ Downsample ₂
	(2,2)	$x_{n+1} : x_{3n}$ $y_{n+1} : y_{3n}$		Downsample ₂ Downsample ₂
(3)	(3,1)	$x_1 : x_{3n}$ $y_1 : y_{3n}$		Downsample ₃ Downsample ₃



(a)



(b)



(c)

FIGURE 7: The recovery of the ground plane. (a) The original image frame with the features used in the recovery process. (b) The affine rectified image. (c) The metric rectified image.

The second stage is to recover the ground plane up to a metric transformation, which is achieved using two affine invariant properties. The recovery result is shown in Figure 7(c). In our experiment, we used the right angle between the vertical and horizontal borders of parking space and the equal length of the tire spans of a tracked truck across frame as shown by the white points (S_1, S_2) and (S_3, S_4) in Figure 7(a).

5.2.3. Learning the trajectories

For learning the normal activity trajectory, we used a training dataset containing the tracking results for 17 objects of different track lengths. The normal activity in such data

corresponds to a person entering the parking lot and moving towards a car, or a person leaving the parking lot. The trajectories were first smoothed using a five-point moving averaging to remove tracking errors, and then they were used to generate track segments of 50-point length as described earlier, resulting in 60 learning segments. Figure 8(a) shows the image plane trajectories used in the learning process, and each of the red points represents the center of the bounding box of an object in a certain frame.

This set of trajectories is used to determine the range of the NMSE in the case of a normal activity trajectory. Figure 8(b) shows the NMSE values for the segments of the training set sequence.




FIGURE 8: (a) The normal trajectories and (b) the associated normalized mean square error (NMSE) values.




FIGURE 9: The first abnormal test scenario. A person stops moving at a point on his route. We see the increase in the normalized mean square error (NMSE) values when he/she stops, resulting in a deviation from the normal trajectory.




FIGURE 10: The second testing scenario. (a) A group of people on a path towards a box. (b) The increase in the NMSE with time as the abnormal scenario is being performed.

5.2.4. Testing trajectories for anomalies

First abnormal scenario

This testing sequence represents a human moving in the parking lot and then stopping in the same location for some time. The first part of the trajectory, which lasts for 100 frames (two segments), is a normal activity trajectory, but the third segment represents an abnormal act. This could be a situation of interest in surveillance scenario. Figure 9 shows the different segments of the object trajectory, along with the NMSE associated with each new segment. We see that as the object stops moving in the third segment, the NMSE values rise to indicate a possible drift of the object trajectory from the normal trajectory.

Second abnormal scenario

In this abnormal scenario, several tracked humans drift from their path into the grass area surrounding the parking lot, stop there to lift a large box, and then move the box. Figure 10(a) shows the object trajectory. Figure 10(b) shows a plot of the NMSE of all the segments, in red, with respect to the normal trajectory NMSE, in blue. It can be verified from the figure that the trajectory was changing from normal to abnormal one in the last three or four segments, which caused the NMSE of the global trajectory to rise.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have presented a framework for using 3D deformable shape models for activity modeling and representation. This has the potential to provide invariance to viewpoint and more detailed modeling of illumination effects. The 3D shape is estimated from the motion trajectories of the points participating in the activity under a weak perspective camera projection model. Each activity is represented using a linear combination of a set of 3D basis shapes. We presented a theory for estimating the number of basis shapes, based on the DI of the 3D deformable shape. We also explored the special case of ground plane motion trajectories, which often occurs in surveillance applications, and provided a framework for using our proposed approach for detecting anomalies in this case. We presented results showing the effectiveness of our 3D model in representing human activity for recognition and performing ground plane activity modeling and anomaly detection. The main challenge in this framework will be in developing representations that are robust to errors in 3D model estimation. Also, machine learning approaches that take particular advantage of the availability of 3D models will be an interesting area of future research.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M. Abdelkader and R. Chellappa were partially supported by the Advanced Sensors Consortium sponsored by the US Army Research Laboratory under the Collaborative Technology Alliance Program, Cooperative Agreement DAAD19-01-2-0008. A. K. Roy-Chowdhury was partially supported by

NSF Grant IIS-0712253 while working on this paper. The authors thank Dr. Alex Chan and Dr. Nasser Nasrabadi for providing ARL data and helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Kendall, D. Barden, T. Carne, and H. Le, *Shape and Shape Theory*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1999.
- [2] I. Dryden and K. Mardia, *Statistical Shape Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1998.
- [3] T. Cootes, C. Taylor, D. Cooper, and J. Graham, "Active shape models - their training and application," *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 38–59, 1995.
- [4] W. Mio and A. Srivastava, "Elastic-string models for representation and analysis of planar shapes," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR '04)*, vol. 2, pp. 10–15, Washington, DC, USA, June–July 2004.
- [5] T. B. Sebastian, P. N. Klein, and B. B. Kimia, "Recognition of shapes by editing their shock graphs," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 550–571, 2004.
- [6] A. Srivastava, S. H. Joshi, W. Mio, and X. Liu, "Statistical shape analysis: clustering, learning, and testing," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 590–602, 2005.
- [7] L. Torresani, D. Yang, E. Alexander, and C. Bregler, "Tracking and modeling non-rigid objects with rank constraints," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR '01)*, vol. 1, pp. 493–500, Kauai, Hawaii, USA, December 2001.
- [8] M. Brand, "Morphable 3D models from video," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR '01)*, vol. 2, pp. 456–463, Kauai, Hawaii, USA, December 2001.
- [9] J. Xiao, J. Chai, and T. Kanade, "A closed-form solution to non-rigid shape and motion recovery," in *Proceedings of the 8th European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV '04)*, vol. 3024, pp. 573–587, Prague, Czech Republic, May 2004.
- [10] M. Brand, "A direct method for 3D factorization of nonrigid motion observed in 2D," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR '05)*, vol. 2, pp. 122–128, San Diego, Calif, USA, June 2005.
- [11] Y. Sheikh, M. Sheikh, and M. Shah, "Exploring the space of a human actionin," in *Proceedings of the 10th IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV '05)*, vol. 1, pp. 144–149, Beijing, China, October 2005.
- [12] A. Veeraraghavan, A. K. Roy-Chowdhury, and R. Chellappa, "Matching shape sequences in video with applications in human movement analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 27, no. 12, pp. 1896–1909, 2005.
- [13] N. Vaswani, A. K. Roy-Chowdhury, and R. Chellappa, "Shape activity": a continuous-state HMM for moving/deforming shapes with application to abnormal activity detection," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. 1603–1616, 2005.
- [14] A. K. Roy-Chowdhury and R. Chellappa, "Factorization approach for event recognition," in *Proceedings of CVPR Event Mining Workshop*, Madison, Wis, USA, June 2003.
- [15] A. K. Roy-Chowdhury, "A measure of deformability of shapes, with applications to human motion analysis," in *Proceedings*

- of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR '05), vol. 1, pp. 398–404, San Diego, Calif, USA, June 2005.
- [16] A. K. Roy-Chowdhury, “Towards a measure of deformability of shape sequences,” *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 28, no. 15, pp. 2164–2172, 2007.
- [17] S. Tsuji, A. Morizono, and S. Kuroda, “Understanding a simple cartoon film by a computer vision system,” in *Proceedings of the 5th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI '77)*, pp. 609–610, Cambridge, Mass, USA, August 1977.
- [18] B. Neumann and H. Novak, “Event models for recognition and natural language descriptions of events in real-world image sequences,” in *Proceedings of the 8th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI '83)*, pp. 724–726, Karlsruhe, Germany, August 1983.
- [19] H. Nagel, “From image sequences towards conceptual descriptions,” *Image and Vision Computing*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 59–74, 1988.
- [20] Y. Kuniyoshi and H. Inoue, “Qualitative recognition of ongoing human action sequences,” in *Proceedings of the 13th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI '93)*, pp. 1600–1609, Chambéry, France, August–September 1993.
- [21] C. Dousson, P. Gabarit, and M. Ghallab, “Situation recognition: representation and algorithms,” in *Proceedings of the 13th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI '93)*, pp. 166–172, Chambéry, France, August–September 1993.
- [22] H. Buxton and S. Gong, “Visual surveillance in a dynamic and uncertain world,” *Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 78, no. 1–2, pp. 431–459, 1995.
- [23] J. Davis and A. Bobick, “Representation and recognition of human movement using temporal templates,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR '97)*, pp. 928–934, San Juan, Puerto Rico, USA, June 1997.
- [24] B. F. Bremond and M. Thonnat, “Analysis of human activities described by image sequences,” in *Proceedings of the 20th International Florida Artificial Intelligence Research International Symposium (FLAIRS '97)*, Daytona, Fla, USA, May 1997.
- [25] N. Rota and M. Thonnat, “Activity recognition from video sequence using declarative models,” in *Proceedings of the 14th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI '00)*, pp. 673–680, Berlin, Germany, August 2000.
- [26] V. Vu, F. Bremond, and M. Thonnat, “Automatic video interpretation: a recognition algorithm for temporal scenarios based on pre-compiled scenario models,” in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision Systems (ICCV '03)*, pp. 523–533, Graz, Austria, April 2003.
- [27] C. Castel, L. Chaudron, and C. Tessier, “What is going on? A high-level interpretation of a sequence of images,” in *Proceedings of the Workshop on Conceptual Descriptions from Images (ECCV '96)*, Cambridge, UK, April 1996.
- [28] T. Starner and A. Pentland, “Visual recognition of American sign language using hidden Markov models,” in *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Face and Gesture Recognition*, 1995.
- [29] A. Wilson and A. Bobick, “Recognition and interpretation of parametric gesture,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE 6th International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV '98)*, pp. 329–336, Bombay, India, January 1998.
- [30] W. Grimson, C. Stauffer, R. Romano, and L. Lee, “Using adaptive tracking to classify and monitor activities in a site,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR '98)*, pp. 22–29, Santa Barbara, Calif, USA, June 1998.
- [31] J. Pearl, *Probabilistic Reasoning in Intelligent Systems*, Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco, Calif, USA, 1988.
- [32] S. Intille and A. Bobick, “A framework for recognizing multi-agent action from visual evidence,” in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI '99)*, pp. 518–525, Orlando, Fla, USA, July 1999.
- [33] P. Remagnini, T. Tan, and K. Baker, “Agent-oriented annotation in model based visual surveillance,” in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV '98)*, pp. 857–862, Bombay, India, January 1998.
- [34] G. Shaffer, *A Mathematical Theory of Evidence*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, USA, 1976.
- [35] D. Ayers and R. Chellappa, “Scenario recognition from video using a hierarchy of dynamic belief networks,” in *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR '00)*, vol. 1, pp. 835–838, Barcelona, Spain, September 2000.
- [36] T. Huang, D. Koller, and J. Malik, “Automatic symbolic traffic scene analysis using belief networks,” in *Proceedings of the 12th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI '94)*, pp. 966–972, Seattle, Wash, USA, July 1994.
- [37] S. Hongeng and R. Nevatia, “Multi-agent event recognition,” in *Proceedings of the 8th IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV '01)*, pp. 84–91, Vancouver, BC, Canada, July 2001.
- [38] G. Johansson, “Visual perception of biological motion and a model for its analysis,” *Perception and Psychophysics*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 201–211, 1973.
- [39] E. Muylbridge, *The Human Figure in Motion*, Dover, New York, NY, USA, 1901.
- [40] G. Harris and P. Smith, Eds., *Human Motion Analysis: Current Applications and Future Directions*, IEEE Press, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 1996.
- [41] D. Gavrila, “The visual analysis of human movement: a survey,” *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, vol. 73, no. 1, pp. 82–98, 1999.
- [42] W. Hu, T. Tan, L. Wang, and S. Maybank, “A survey on visual surveillance of object motion and behaviors,” *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics Part C: Applications and Reviews*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 334–352, 2004.
- [43] N. Vaswani, A. K. Roy-Chowdhury, and R. Chellappa, “Activity recognition using the dynamics of the configuration of interacting objects,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR '03)*, vol. 2, pp. 633–640, Madison, Wis, USA, June 2003.
- [44] A. Veeraraghavan, A. K. Roy-Chowdhury, and R. Chellappa, “Role of shape and kinematics in human movement analysis,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR '04)*, vol. 1, pp. 730–737, Washington, DC, USA, June–July 2004.
- [45] L. Campbell and A. Bobick, “Recognition of human body motion using phase space constraints,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE 5th International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV '95)*, pp. 624–630, Cambridge, Mass, USA, June 1995.
- [46] C. Rao, A. Yilmaz, and M. Shah, “View-invariant representation and recognition of actions,” *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 203–226, 2002.
- [47] V. Parameswaran and R. Chellappa, “View invariants for human action recognition,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern*

- Recognition (CVPR '03)*, vol. 2, pp. 613–619, Madison, Wis, USA, June 2003.
- [48] N. Johnson and D. Hogg, “Learning the distribution of object trajectories for event recognition,” *Image and Vision Computing*, vol. 14, no. 8, pp. 609–615, 1996.
- [49] J. Owens and A. Hunter, “Application of the self-organizing map to trajectory classification,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Workshop Visual Surveillance*, pp. 77–83, Dublin, Ireland, July 2000.
- [50] W. Chen and S. F. Chang, “Motion trajectory matching of video objects,” in *Storage and Retrieval for Media Databases*, vol. 3972 of *Proceedings of SPIE*, pp. 544–553, San Jose, Calif, USA, January 2000.
- [51] L. Torresani and C. Bregler, “Space-time tracking,” in *Proceedings of the 7th European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV '02)*, Copenhagen, Denmark, May-June 2002.
- [52] P. J. Phillips, S. Sarkar, I. Robledo, P. Grother, and K. Bowyer, “The gait identification challenge problem: data sets and baseline algorithm,” in *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR '02)*, vol. 16, pp. 385–388, Quebec City, Canada, August 2002.
- [53] C. Tomasi and T. Kanade, “Shape and motion from image streams under orthography: a factorization method,” *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 137–154, 1992.
- [54] Z. Zhang, “A flexible new technique for camera calibration,” *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 1330–1334, 2000.
- [55] R. Tsai, “A versatile camera calibration technique for high-accuracy 3D machine vision metrology using off-the-shelf TV cameras and lenses,” *IEEE Journal of [legacy, pre - 1988] on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 323–344, 1987.
- [56] D. Liebowitz and A. Zisserman, “Metric rectification for perspective images of planes,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR '98)*, pp. 482–488, Santa Barbara, Calif, USA, June 1998.
- [57] R. Hartley and A. Zisserman, *Multiple View Geometry in Computer Vision*, Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA, 2000.
- [58] M. F. Abdelkader, R. Chellappa, Q. Zheng, and A. L. Chan, “Integrated motion detection and tracking for visual surveillance,” in *Proceedings of the 4th IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision Systems (ICVS '06)*, p. 28, New York, NY, USA, January 2006.
- [59] Cornege mellon university graphics lab motion capture database, <http://mocap.cs.cmu.edu/>.
- [60] A. Kale, A. Sundaresan, and A. Rajagopalan, “Identification of humans using gait,” *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 1163–1173, 2004.
- [61] R. Tanawongsuwan and A. Bobick, “Modelling the effects of walking speed on appearance-based gait recognition,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR '04)*, vol. 2, pp. 783–790, Washington, DC, USA, June-July 2004.
- [62] A. Veeraraghavan, R. Chellappa, and A. K. Roy-Chowdhury, “The function space of an activity,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR '06)*, pp. 959–968, Washington, DC, USA, 2006.
- [63] L. Rabiner and B.-H. Juang, *Fundamentals of Speech Recognition*, Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 1993.

Special Issue on Secure Steganography in Multimedia Content

Call for Papers

Steganography, the art and science of invisible communication, aims to transmit information that is embedded invisibly into carrier data. Different from cryptography it hides the very existence of the secret. Its main requirement is undetectability, that is, no method should be able to detect a hidden message in carrier data. This also differentiates steganography from watermarking where the secrecy of hidden data is not required. Watermarking serves in some way the carrier, while in steganography, the carrier serves as a decoy for the hidden message.

The theoretical foundations of steganography and detection theory have been advanced rapidly, resulting in improved steganographic algorithms as well as more accurate models of their capacity and weaknesses.

However, the field of steganography still faces many challenges. Recent research in steganography and steganalysis has far-reaching connections to machine learning, coding theory, and signal processing. There are powerful blind (or universal) detection methods, which are not fine-tuned to a particular embedding method, but detect steganographic changes using a classifier that is trained with features from known media. Coding theory facilitates increased embedding efficiency and adaptiveness to carrier data, both of which will increase the security of steganographic algorithms. Finally, both practical steganographic algorithms and steganalytic methods require signal processing of common media like images, audio, and video. The field of steganography still faces many challenges, for example,

- how could one make benchmarking steganography more independent from machine learning used in steganalysis?
- where could one embed the secret to make steganography more secure? (content adaptivity problem).
- what is the most secure steganography for a given carrier?

Material for experimental evaluation will be made available at <http://dud.inf.tu-dresden.de/~westfeld/rsp/rsp.html>.

The main goal of this special issue is to provide a state-of-the-art view on current research in the field of steganographic applications. Some of the related research topics for the submission include, but are not limited to:

- Performance, complexity, and security analysis of steganographic methods

- Practical secure steganographic methods for images, audio, video, and more exotic media and bounds on detection reliability
- Adaptive, content-aware embedding in various transform domains
- Large-scale experimental setups and carrier modeling
- Energy-efficient realization of embedding pertaining encoding and encryption
- Steganography in active warden scenario, robust steganography
- Interplay between capacity, embedding efficiency, coding, and detectability
- Steganalytic application in steganography benchmarking and digital forensics
- Attacks against steganalytic applications
- Information-theoretic aspects of steganographic security

Authors should follow the EURASIP Journal on Information Security manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/is/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	August 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	November 1, 2008
Publication Date	February 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Miroslav Goljan, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Watson School of Engineering and Applied Science, Binghamton University, P.O. Box 6000, Binghamton, NY 13902-6000, USA; mgoljan@binghamton.edu

Andreas Westfeld, Institute for System Architecture, Faculty of Computer Science, Dresden University of Technology, Helmholtzstraße 10, 01069 Dresden, Germany; westfeld@inf.tu-dresden.de

Special Issue on 3D Image and Video Processing

Call for Papers

3D image and 3D video processing techniques have received increasing interest in the last years due to the availability of high-end capturing, processing, and rendering devices. Costs for digital cameras have dropped significantly and they allow the capturing of high-resolution images and videos, and thus even multi-view acquisition becomes interesting in commercial applications. At the same time, processing power and storage have reached the level that allows the real-time processing and analysis of 3D image information. The synthesized 3D information can be interactively visualized on high-end graphics boards that become available even on small mobile devices. 3D displays can additionally help to increase the immersiveness of a 3D framework. All these developments move the interest in 3D image and video processing methods from a more academic point of view to commercially attractive systems and enable many new applications such as 3DTV, augmented reality, intelligent human-machine interfaces, interactive 3D video environments, and much more.

Although the technical requirements are more and more fulfilled for a commercial success, there is still a need of sophisticated algorithms to handle 3D image information. The amount of data is extremely high, requiring efficient techniques for coding, transmission, and processing. Similarly, the estimation of 3D geometry and other meta data from multiple views, the augmentation of real and synthetic scene content, and the estimation of 3D object or face/body motion have been addressed mainly for restricted scenarios but often lack robustness in a general environment. This leaves many interesting research areas in order to enhance and optimize 3D imaging and to enable fully new applications. Therefore, this special issue targets at bringing together leading experts in the field of 3D image and video processing to discuss and investigate this interesting topic.

Specifically, this special issue will gather high-quality, original contributions on all aspects of the analysis, interaction, streaming, and synthesis of 3D image and video data. Topics of interest include (but are not limited to):

- 3D image and video analysis and synthesis
- Face analysis and animation
- 3D reconstruction

- Object recognition and tracking
- 3D video/communications
- Streaming of 3D data
- Augmented/mixed reality
- Image-based rendering
- Free viewpoint video

Authors should follow the EURASIP Journal on Image and Video Processing manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ivp/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscripts through the EURASIP Journal on Image and Video Processing Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	March 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	June 1, 2008
Publication Date	September 1, 2008

Guest Editors

Peter Eisert, Fraunhofer Institute for Telecommunications, Heinrich Hertz Institute, Berlin, Germany;
eisert@hhi.fraunhofer.de

Marc Pollefeys, Department of Computer Science, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, USA, Institute for Computational Science, ETH Zurich, Switzerland;
marc@cs.unc.edu

Stefano Tubaro, Dipartimento di Elettronica e Informazione, Politecnico di Milano, Milano, Italy;
stefano.tubaro@polimi.it

Special Issue on Synchronization in Wireless Communications

Call for Papers

The last decade has witnessed an immense increase of wireless communications services to keep pace with the ever increasing demand for higher data rates combined with higher mobility. To satisfy this demand for higher data rates, the throughput over the existing transmission media had to be increased. Several techniques were proposed to boost up the data rate: multicarrier systems to combat selective fading, ultrawide band (UWB) communications systems to share the spectrum with other users, MIMO transmissions to increase the capacity of wireless links, iteratively decodable codes (e.g., turbo codes and LDPC codes) to improve the quality of the link, cognitive radios, and so forth.

To function properly, the receiver must synchronize with the incoming signal. The accuracy of the synchronization will determine whether the communication system is able to perform well. The receiver needs to determine at which time instants the incoming signal has to be sampled (timing synchronization), and for bandpass communications the receiver needs to adapt the frequency and phase of its local carrier oscillator with those of the received signal (carrier synchronization). However, most of the existing communication systems operate under hostile conditions: low SNR, strong fading, and (multiuser) interference, which make the acquisition of the synchronization parameters burdensome. Therefore, synchronization is considered in general as a challenging task.

The objective of this special issue (whose preparation is also carried out under the auspices of the EC Network of Excellence in Wireless Communications NEWCOM++) is to gather recent advances in the area of synchronization of wireless systems, spanning from theoretical analysis of synchronization schemes to practical implementation issues, from optimal synchronizers to low-complexity ad hoc synchronizers. Suitable topics for this special issue include but are not limited to:

- Carrier phase and frequency offset estimation and compensation
- Doppler shift frequency synchronization
- Phase noise estimation and compensation
- Timing recovery
- Sampling clock offset impairments and detection
- Frame synchronization
- Joint carrier and timing synchronization

- Joint synchronization and channel estimation
- Data-aided, non-data-aided and decision directed synchronization algorithms
- Feedforward or feedback synchronization algorithms
- Turbo-synchronization
- Synchronization for MIMO receivers
- Signal processing for (distributed) synchronization
- Acquisition and tracking performance analysis
- Spreading code acquisition and tracking
- Theoretical bounds on synchronizer performance
- Design of efficient training sequences or pilots

Authors should follow the EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/wcn/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable.

Manuscript Due	July 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	October 1, 2008
Publication Date	January 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Heidi Steendam, Department of Telecommunications and Information Processing (TELIN), Ghent University, 9000 Gent, Belgium; heidi.steendam@telin.ugent.be

Mounir Ghogho, School of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, Leeds University, 182 Woodhouse Lane, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK; m.ghogho@leeds.ac.uk

Marco Luise, Department of Information Engineering, University of Pisa, 56122 Pisa, Italy; m.luise@iet.unipi.it

Erdal Panayirci, Department of Electronics Engineering, Kadir Has University, 34083 Istanbul, Turkey; eeapanay@khas.edu.tr

Erchin Serpedin, Department of Electrical Engineering, A&M University, College Station, TX 77840, USA; serpedin@ece.tamu.edu

Special Issue on Active Antennas for Space Applications

Call for Papers

Over the last years, many journal articles appeared on the principles, analysis, and design of active and active integrated antennas (AAs and AIAs). An AA is a single system comprising both a radiating element and one or more active components which are tightly integrated. This gives clear advantages in terms of costs, dimensions, and efficiency. In the case of an AIA, both the active device and the radiator are integrated on the same substrate. Both options lead to very compact, low-loss, flexible antennas, and this is very important especially at high frequencies, such as those typical of a satellite link. As microwave integrated-circuit and the microwave monolithic integrated-circuit technologies have ripened, AA and AIA applications have become more and more interesting, not only at a scientific level but also from a commercial point of view, up to the point that they have recently been applied to phased array antennas on board moving vehicles for satellite broadband communication systems.

The goal of this special issue is to present the most recent developments and researches in this field, with particular attention to space-borne applications, as well as to enhance the state of the art and show how AAs and AIAs can meet the challenge of the XXI century telecommunications applications.

Topics of interest include, but are not limited to:

- Active (integrated) antenna design, analysis, and simulation techniques
- Active (integrated) antenna applications in arrays, retrodirective arrays and discrete lenses
- Millimeter-wave active (integrated) antennas

Authors should follow International Journal of Antennas and Propagation manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ijap/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	September 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	December 1, 2008
Publication Date	March 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Stefano Selleri, Department of Electronics and Telecommunications, University of Florence, Via C. Lombroso 6/17, 50137 Florence, Italy; stefano.selleri@unifi.it

Giovanni Toso, European Space Research and Technology Center (ESTEC), European Space Agency (ESA), Keplerlaan 1, PB 299, 2200 AG Noordwijk, The Netherlands; giovanni.toso@esa.int

Special Issue on Climate Change and Infectious Disease

Call for Papers

Virtually every atmospheric scientist agrees that climate change—most of it anthropogenic—is occurring rapidly. This includes, but is not limited to, global warming. Other variables include changes in rainfall, weather-related natural hazards, and humidity. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) issued a major report earlier this year establishing, without a doubt, that global warming is occurring, and that it is due to human activities.

Beginning about two decades ago, scientists began studying (and speculating) how global warming might affect the distribution of infectious disease, with almost total emphasis on vector-borne diseases. Much of the speculation was based upon the prediction that if mean temperatures increase over time with greater distance from the equator, there would be a northward and southward movement of vectors, and therefore the prevalence of vector-borne diseases would increase in temperate zones. The reality has been more elusive, and predictive epidemiology has not yet allowed us to come to conclusive predictions that have been tested concerning the relationship between climate change and infectious disease. The impact of climate change on infectious disease is not limited to vector-borne disease, or to infections directly impacting human health. Climate change may affect patterns of disease among plants and animals, impacting the human food supply, or indirectly affecting human disease patterns as the host range for disease reservoirs change.

In this special issue, *Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Infectious Diseases* is soliciting cross-cutting, interdisciplinary articles that take new and broad perspectives ranging from what we might learn from previous climate changes on disease spread to integrating evolutionary and ecologic theory with epidemiologic evidence in order to identify key areas for study in order to predict the impact of ongoing climate change on the spread of infectious diseases. We especially encourage papers addressing broad questions like the following. How do the dynamics of the drivers of climate change affect downstream patterns of disease in human, other animals, and plants? Is climate change an evolutionary pressure for pathogens? Can climate change and infectious disease be integrated in a systems framework? What are the relationships

between climate change at the macro level and microbes at the micro level?

Authors should follow the *Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Infectious Diseases* manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ipid/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	June 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	September 1, 2008
Publication Date	December 1, 2008

Guest Editors

Bettina Fries, Albert-Einstein College of Medicine, Yeshira University, NY 10461, USA; fries@aecom.yu.edu

Jonathan D. Mayer, Division of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, University of Washington, WA 98195, USA; jmayer@u.washington.edu

RESEARCH LETTERS IN SIGNAL PROCESSING

Why publish in this journal?

Research Letters in Signal Processing is devoted to very fast publication of short, high-quality manuscripts in the broad field of signal processing. Manuscripts should not exceed 4 pages in their final published form. Average time from submission to publication shall be around 60 days.

Why publish in this journal?

Wide Dissemination

All articles published in the journal are freely available online with no subscription or registration barriers. Every interested reader can download, print, read, and cite your article.

Quick Publication

The journal employs an online “Manuscript Tracking System” which helps streamline and speed the peer review so all manuscripts receive fast and rigorous peer review. Accepted articles appear online as soon as they are accepted, and shortly after, the final published version is released online following a thorough in-house production process.

Professional Publishing Services

The journal provides professional copyediting, typesetting, graphics, editing, and reference validation to all accepted manuscripts.

Keeping Your Copyright

Authors retain the copyright of their manuscript, which is published using the “Creative Commons Attribution License,” which permits unrestricted use of all published material provided that it is properly cited.

Extensive Indexing


Articles published in this journal will be indexed in several major indexing databases to ensure the maximum possible visibility of each published article.

Submit your Manuscript Now...

In order to submit your manuscript, please visit the journal’s website that can be found at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/rlsp/> and click on the “Manuscript Submission” link in the navigational bar.

Should you need help or have any questions, please drop an email to the journal’s editorial office at rlsp@hindawi.com

ISSN: 1687-6911; e-ISSN: 1687-692X; doi:10.1155/RLSP



Editorial Board

Tyseer Aboulnasr, Canada
T. Adali, USA
S. S. Agaian, USA
Tamal Bose, USA
Jonathon Chambers, UK
Liang-Gee Chen, Taiwan
P. Dan Dan Cristea, Romania
Karen Egiazarian, Finland
Fary Z. Ghassemlooy, UK
Ling Guan, Canada
M. Haardt, Germany
Peter Handel, Sweden
Alfred Hanssen, Norway
Andreas Jakobsson, Sweden
Jiri Jan, Czech Republic
Soren Holdt Jensen, Denmark
Stefan Kaiser, Germany
C. Chung Ko, Singapore
S. Maw Kuo, USA
Miguel Angel Lagunas, Spain
James Lam, Hong Kong
Mark Liao, Taiwan
Stephen Marshall, UK
Stephen McLaughlin, UK
Antonio Napolitano, Italy
C. Richard, France
M. Rupp, Austria
William Allan Sandham, UK
Ravi Sankar, USA
John J. Shynk, USA
A. Spanias, USA
Yannis Stylianou, Greece
Jarmo Henrik Takala, Finland
S. Theodoridis, Greece
Luc Vandendorpe, Belgium
Jar-Ferr Kevin Yang, Taiwan

Hindawi Publishing Corporation

410 Park Avenue, 15th Floor, #287 pmb, New York, NY 10022, USA

HINDAWI